arXiv:1301.6024v1 [math.PR] 25 Jan 2013

Exponential Ergodicity for Nonlinear SPDEs Driven by Jump Processes

Yulin Song[*]

School of Mathematical Sciences, Beijing Normal University, Beijing, 100875, *China*

Abstract

In this paper, an integration by parts formula was derived for jump processes on Hilbert spaces. Using this formula, we investigated derivative foumula and exponential ergodicity for nonlinear SPDEs driven by purely jump processes.

Keywords: jump processes; integration by parts formula; derivative formula; exponential ergodicity.

1 Introduction

In recent years, integration by parts formula, derivative formula and exponential ergodicity have been extensively studied for stochasitic differential equations with jumps. The topics on the two formulas for jump processes on $\mathbb{R}^d$, we refer to [1], [2], [4], [9], [16], [17],[18],[19], [22] and so on. In the finite-dimensional case, the authors investigated the coupling property for linear SDEs in [3], [13], [15], [14] and references within. For nonlinear SDEs driven by jump processes, coupling property was derived in [16]. In most of references mentioned above the shift-invataiance of the Lebesgue measure plays an essential role. But it infinite-dimensianl setting, there is no Lebesgue measure available. The authors in [20] investigated the strong Feller and coupling properties for transition semigroups of linear SDEs driven by Lévy processes on a Banach space equipped with a nice reference measure which has quasi-invariance property. Exponential ergodicity for SDEs driven by Lévy processes were studied in [21], [8], [10] and references therein for finite-dimensional case. Ergodicity and exponential mixing properties of SPDEs driven by cylindrical stable processes were derived in [11], [12] and [10]. In this paper we aim to investigate derivative formula and exponential mixing for nonlinear SDEs driven by non-cylindrical purely jump processes on a separable Hilbert space.

Let $(\mathbb{H}, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle)$ be a separable Hilbert space and μ be a Gaussian measure on $\mathbb{H}$ with covariance operator Q. Its square root, denoted by $Q^{\frac{1}{2}}$, is a nonnegative and symmetric

---

[*] songyl@amss.ac.cn.

Hilbert-Schmidt operator. Let $\mathrm{Im}Q^{\frac{1}{2}}$ be the image space of $Q^{\frac{1}{2}}$, i.e., $\mathrm{Im}Q^{\frac{1}{2}} = \{Q^{\frac{1}{2}}x | x \in \mathbb{H}\}$. As is known, $\mathrm{Im}Q^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is a Hilbert space with the induced inner product

$$\langle x, y\rangle_0 := \langle Q^{-\frac{1}{2}}x, Q^{-\frac{1}{2}}y\rangle, \quad x, y \in \mathrm{Im}Q^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where $Q^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is the pseudo inverse of Q in the case that it is not one-to-one, that is, for $h \in \mathrm{Im}Q^{\frac{1}{2}}$,

$$Q^{-\frac{1}{2}}h = x, \text{ if } Q^{\frac{1}{2}}x = h \text{ and } \|x\| = \inf\{\|y\| : Q^{\frac{1}{2}}y = h\}.$$

Equivalently, we also have

$$\langle x, y\rangle_0 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\langle x, e_k\rangle\langle y, e_k\rangle}{\lambda_k} I_{[\lambda_k > 0]},$$

where $\{e_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$, the eigenvectors of Q with eigenvalues $\{\lambda_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$, consists of an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{H}$. The space $(\mathrm{Im}Q^{\frac{1}{2}}, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_0)$ is called the Reproducing Kernel Hilbert Space of $\mathbb{H}$. As is known, the Gaussian measure μ has quasi-invariant property under the shift $z \mapsto z + h$ for any $h \in \mathrm{Im}Q^{\frac{1}{2}}$, i.e., $\mu(\cdot + h)$ and μ are mutually absolutely continuous. The Randon-Nikodym derivative of $\mu(\cdot + h)$ with respect to μ is

$$\varphi(z, h) := \frac{\mu(dz + h)}{\mu(dz)} = \exp\{\langle h, z\rangle_0 - \frac{1}{2}\langle h, h\rangle_0\}, \quad \mu - a.e.$$

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ be a probability space and $L = \{L_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ be a square-integrable $\mathbb{H}$-valued jump Lévy process with symbol

$$\eta(u) = \int_{\mathbb{H}} (e^{i\langle u,z\rangle} - 1 - i\langle u, z\rangle)\nu(dz), \quad u \in \mathbb{H}, \tag{1.1}$$

where ν is the characteristic measure satisfying $\nu(\{0\}) = 0$ and $\int_{\mathbb{H}} \|z\|^2\nu(dz) < \infty$. Denote $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ the filtration generated by L.

In this paper, we consider the following stochastic equation on $\mathbb{H}$

$$\begin{cases} dX_t = AX_t dt + F(X_t)dt + dL_t, \\ X_0 = x, \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

where $A : \mathcal{D}(A) \subset \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{H}$ is an adjiont, unbounded and linear operator generating a C_0-semigroup $\{S_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ on $\mathbb{H}$ and $F : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{H}$ is measurable and bounded. The solution of Eq.(1.2), if it exists, can be formulated as

$$X_t = S(t)x + \int_0^t S(t-s)F(X_s)ds + \int_0^t S(t-s)dL_s, \quad t \geq 0.$$

We gather here all hypothesises which will be made on Eq.(1.2).

(**H1**) There exist an open set $U \subset \mathbb{H}$ with $\lambda := \nu(U) < \infty$, $\nu(\partial U) = 0$ and a differentiable function $\rho : U \to (0, \infty)$ satisfying $\int_U \big(|z|\rho(z) + |\nabla\rho(z)|\big)\mu(dz) < \infty$ such that

$$\nu(dz)|_U = \rho(z)\mu(dz). \tag{1.3}$$

(**H2**) A is a dissipative operator defined by

$$A=\sum_{k\geq 1}(-\gamma_k)e_k\otimes e_k, \tag{1.4}$$

for $0<\gamma_1\leq\gamma_2\leq\cdots\leq\gamma_k\leq\cdots$ and $\gamma_k\to\infty$ as $k\to\infty$.
(**H3**) $S(t)\mathbb{H}\subset\mathrm{Im}Q$ holds for any $t>0$.
(**H4**) $F:\mathbb{H}\to\mathbb{H}$ is a bounded and Lipschitz continuous function with the smallest Lipschitz constant $\|F\|_{Lip}$.

Let $\mathscr{B}_b(\mathbb{H})$ be the class of all bounded measurable function on $\mathbb{H}$ and $N_t:=N([0,t]\times U)$. We aim to derive the Bismut type formula for semigroups $\{P_t^1\}_{t\geq 0}$ and exponential mixing property for $\{P_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ defined as

$$P_t^1f(x):=Ef(X_t^x)I_{[N_t\geq 1]},\quad P_tf(x):=Ef(X_t^x),\quad x\in\mathbb{H},\ t\geq 0,\ f\in\mathscr{B}_b(\mathbb{H}). \tag{1.5}$$

In this paper, it is difficult for us to investigate a derivative formula for P_t. Fortunately, associated formula for P_t^1 is derived, which is enough for our further work. Denote

$$C_b^1(\mathbb{H}\to\mathbb{H})=\Big\{G:\mathbb{H}\to\mathbb{H}\Big|G\text{ and its first order derivatives are continuous and bounded.}\Big\}.$$

In this paper, we have the following main results.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume* (**H1**) $-$ (**H3**) *hold. Let* $F\in C_b^1(\mathbb{H}\to\mathbb{H})$ *and* ∇F *be Lipschitz continuous. If* $\int_0^T\|Q^{-1}S(t)\|dt<\infty$, *then for* $f\in C_b^1(\mathbb{H})$, $\xi\in\mathbb{H}$ *and* $t\in(0,T]$

$$\nabla_\xi P_t^1f(x)=-Ef(X_t^x)\frac{I_{[N_t\geq 1]}}{N_t}\int_0^t\int_U\Big(\langle z,Q^{-1}J(s)\xi\rangle+\langle\nabla\log\rho(z),J(s)\xi\rangle\Big)\widetilde{N}(dz,ds).$$

With the help of above formula, we can obtain the exponential mixing properties of P_t.

Theorem 1.2. *Assume* (**H1**) $-$ (**H4**) *hold. If* $\gamma_1>\|F\|_{Lip}$ *and* $\sup\limits_{t\geq\frac{2}{\lambda}}\frac{\int_0^t\|Q^{-1}S(s)\|ds}{\lambda t-1}<\infty$, *then there exists an invariant probability measure* Ξ *and a constant* $C>0$ *such that*

$$\|P_t(x,\cdot)-\Xi\|_{Var}\leq C(1+|x|)\exp\Big\{-\frac{\lambda(\gamma_1-\|F\|_{Lip})t}{\lambda+\gamma_1-\|F\|_{Lip}}\Big\}.$$

Remark 1.1. (i) It is not essential for $\nu(dz)|_U$ in (**H1**) to be a finite measure. If not, we can take a subset $\widetilde{U}\subset U$ such that $\nu(\widetilde{U})<\infty$ to instead of U. In fact, we only employ parts of information of the drivien noise in the proofs of main results.

(ii) Assume (**H2**), (**H3**) and $F\equiv 0$. Then (1.2) becomes a linear equation. Furthermore, if (**H1**) holds with (1.3) changed into $\nu(dz)|_U\geq\rho(z)\mu(dz)$, then we can also have the above two results which were discussed in [20].

From now on, we use $C_b^1(\mathbb{H})$ to denote the family of C^1 functions f such that f and its derivative of order 1 are bounded. We denote the uniform norm and the operator norm by $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ and $\|\cdot\|$ respectively. The remainder of this paper are organized as follows: in the second section, we shall derive an integration by parts formula for jump processes valued on $\mathbb{H}$; in the third section, we would like to show the proofs of our main results.

2 Integration by Parts Formula

An integration by parts formula can enable one to derive the derivative formula, and it is a powerful tool in stochastic analysis. The associated formula for jump processes on $\mathbb{R}^d$ can be found in [2], [9], [17], [4] and so on. But so far, there are few references studying the formula for jump processes in infinite-dimensional case. For $T > 0$, denote

$$\mathcal{R} = \Big\{R : \Omega \times [0,T] \times \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{H} \Big| R \text{ is predictable and satisfies} \\ E\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{H}} |R(s,z)| N(dz, ds) < \infty \Big\}.$$

For $R \in \mathcal{R}$, define a perturbed random measure N^ϵ by

$$N^\epsilon(\Gamma \times [0,t]) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{H}} I_\Gamma(z + \epsilon R(s,z)) N(dz, ds), \tag{2.1}$$

where $\nu(\Gamma) < \infty$. Let $\{L_t^\epsilon\}_{0 \le t \le T}$ be the associated Lévy process perturbed by ϵR, i.e.,

$$L_t^\epsilon = \int_0^t \int_{[|z|>1]} z N^\epsilon(dz, ds) + \int_0^t \int_{[|z|\le 1]} z(N^\epsilon(dz, ds) - \nu(dz)ds).$$

Let's recall the notion of L^1-derivative, which was first introduced in [2] and can also be found in [4] and [16].

Definition 2.1. *A function $G = G(L_t)$ is called to have an L^1-derivative in the direction R, if there exists an integrable random variable denoted by $D_R G(L_t)$, such that*

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} E\Big|\frac{G(L_t^\epsilon) - G(L_t)}{\epsilon} - D_R G(L_t)\Big| = 0.$$

In order to obtain the integration by parts formula, we would like to construct a weighted probability measure such that the distribution of the perturbed process under this weighted probability equals the ones of original processes under the reference measure P. To be precise, denote

$$\mathcal{V} = \Big\{V : \Omega \times [0,T] \to \mathrm{Im}Q \Big| V \text{ is predictable and } \sup_{s \le T} |Q^{-1}V(s)| < \infty. \Big\},$$

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{V}} = \Big\{V : \Omega \times [0,T] \to \mathrm{Im}Q \Big| V \text{ is predictable and } E\int_0^T |Q^{-1}V(s)| ds < \infty. \Big\},$$

$$\mathcal{U} = \Big\{U_0 \subset U \Big| U_0 \text{ is bounded and closed, satisfying } dist(U_0, \partial U) > 0. \Big\},$$

where $dist(U_0, \partial U)$ is the distance between U_0 and ∂U. For $\epsilon > 0$ and $V \in \mathcal{V}$, we set

$$\lambda^\epsilon(s,z) = \begin{cases} \varphi\big(z, \epsilon V(s) I_{U_0}(z)\big) \frac{\rho\left(z + \epsilon V(s) I_{U_0}(z)\right)}{\rho(z)}, & z \in U_0, \\ 1, & z \in \mathbb{H} \setminus U_0. \end{cases}$$

Take

$$Z_t^\epsilon = \exp\Big\{ \int_0^t \int_{U_0} \log \lambda^\epsilon(s,z) N(dz,ds) - \int_0^t \int_{U_0} (\lambda^\epsilon(s,z)-1)\nu(dz)ds \Big\}.$$

Then $\{Z_t^\epsilon\}_{t\leq T}$ satisfies the following equation

$$\begin{cases} dZ_t^\epsilon = Z_{t-}^\epsilon(\lambda^\epsilon(t,z)-1)\widetilde{N}(dz,dt), \\ Z_0^\epsilon = 1, \end{cases}$$

and can be formulated as

$$Z_t^\epsilon = 1 + \int_0^t \int_{U_0} Z_{s-}^\epsilon (\lambda^\epsilon(s,z)-1)\widetilde{N}(dz,ds). \tag{2.2}$$

So $\{Z_t^\epsilon, \mathcal{F}_t\}_{0\leq t\leq T}$ is a martingale and $Z_0^\epsilon = 1$. Consequently, there exists a probability measure P^ϵ such that

$$\frac{dP^\epsilon}{dP}\Big|_{\mathcal{F}_t} = Z_t^\epsilon, \quad t \leq T.$$

Lemma 2.1. *The P-law of L_t is equal to the P^ϵ-law of L_t^ϵ for $R = VI_{U_0}$ with $V \in \mathcal{V}$.*

Proof. For any test function $\phi \leq 0$, denote

$$Y_t^\epsilon = \exp\Big\{ \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{H}} \phi(s,z) N^\epsilon(dz,ds) \Big\}, \qquad G_t^\epsilon = Y_t^\epsilon Z_t^\epsilon.$$

We just check that $E_{P^\epsilon} G_t^\epsilon$ does not depend on ϵ. Actually, note that

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t^\epsilon =& \exp\Big\{ \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{H}} \phi(s,z) N^\epsilon(dz,ds) \Big\} \\ =& \exp\Big\{ \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{H}} \phi(s,z+\epsilon R(s,z)) N(dz,ds) \Big\} \\ =& 1 + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{H}} Y_{s-}^\epsilon \phi(s,z+\epsilon R(s,z)) N(dz,ds) \\ &+ \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{H}} Y_{s-}^\epsilon \big(e^{\phi(s,z+\epsilon R(s,z))} - 1 - \phi(s,z+\epsilon R(s,z)) \big) N(dz,ds) \\ =& 1 + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{H}} Y_{s-}^\epsilon \big(e^{\phi(s,z+\epsilon R(s,z))} - 1 \big) N(dz,ds), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$[Y^\epsilon, Z^\epsilon]_t = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{H}} Y_{s-}^\epsilon Z_{s-}^\epsilon \big(e^{\phi(s,z+\epsilon R(s,z))} - 1 \big) \big(\lambda^\epsilon(s,z) - 1 \big) N(dz,ds).$$

Itô formula yields

$$G_t^\epsilon = 1 + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{H}} Y_{s-}^\epsilon dZ_s^\epsilon + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{H}} Z_{s-}^\epsilon dY_s^\epsilon + [Y^\epsilon, Z^\epsilon]_t$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&=1+\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{H}} Y^\epsilon_{s-}dZ^\epsilon_s+\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{H}} Z^\epsilon_{s-}Y^\epsilon_{s-}\big(e^{\phi(s,z+\epsilon R(s,z))}-1\big)N(dz,ds)\\
&\quad+\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{H}} Y^\epsilon_{s-}Z^\epsilon_{s-}\big(e^{\phi(s,z+\epsilon R(s,z))}-1\big)\big(\lambda^\epsilon(s,z)-1\big)N(dz,ds)\\
&=1+\int_0^t Y^\epsilon_{s-}dZ^\epsilon_s+\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{H}} G^\epsilon_{s-}\big(e^{\phi(s,z+\epsilon R(s,z))}-1\big)\lambda^\epsilon(s,z)N(dz,ds).
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
EG^\epsilon_t =&1+E\int_0^t\int_{U_0} G^\epsilon_{s-}\big(e^{\phi(s,z+\epsilon V(s))}-1\big)\varphi(z,\epsilon V(s))\frac{\rho(z+\epsilon V(s))}{\rho(z)}\nu(dz)ds\\
&+\int_0^t EG^\epsilon_s\int_{\mathbb{H}\setminus U_0}\big(e^{\phi(s,z)}-1\big)\nu(dz)ds\\
=&1+E\int_0^t\int_{U_0} G^\epsilon_{s-}\big(e^{\phi(s,z+\epsilon V(s))}-1\big)\varphi(h,\epsilon V(s))\rho(z+\epsilon V(s))\mu(dz)ds\\
&+\int_0^t EG^\epsilon_s\int_{\mathbb{H}\setminus U_0}\big(e^{\phi(s,z)}-1\big)\nu(dz)ds\\
=&1+E\int_0^t\int_{U_0} G^\epsilon_{s-}\big(e^{\phi(s,z+\epsilon V(s))}-1\big)\rho(z+\epsilon V(s))\mu\big(dz+\epsilon V(s)\big)ds\\
&+\int_0^t EG^\epsilon_s\int_{\mathbb{H}\setminus U_0}\big(e^{\phi(s,z)}-1\big)\nu(dz)ds\\
=&1+\int_0^t EG^\epsilon_s\int_{\mathbb{H}}\big(e^{\phi(s,z)}-1\big)\nu(dz)ds.
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
EG^\epsilon_t=\exp\Big\{\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{H}}\big(e^{\phi(s,z)}-1\big)\nu(dz)ds\Big\}.
$$

□

Lemma 2.2. *Let ρ satisfy $\inf\limits_{z\in U_0}\rho(z)>0$ and $\sup\limits_{z\in U_0}|\nabla\rho(z)|<\infty$. Then for $t\in[0,T]$ and $V\in\mathcal{V}$, $\{\frac{Z^\epsilon_t-1}{\epsilon}\}_{\epsilon<1}$ are uniformly integrable.*

Proof. For fixed $\epsilon\in(0,1)$, by triangle inequality and mean value theorem, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Big|\frac{\lambda^\epsilon(s,z)-1}{\epsilon}\Big| =&\frac{\Big|\varphi\big(z,\epsilon V(s)I_{U_0}(z)\big)\frac{\rho\left(z+\epsilon V(s)I_{U_0}(z)\right)}{\rho(z)}-1\Big|}{\epsilon}\\
\le&\Big|\frac{\varphi\big(z,\epsilon V(s)I_{U_0}(z)\big)}{\rho(z)}\Big|\Big|\frac{\rho\big(z+\epsilon V(s)I_{U_0}(z)\big)-\rho(z)}{\epsilon}\Big|\\
&+\Big|\frac{\varphi\big(z,\epsilon V(s)I_{U_0}(z)\big)-1}{\epsilon}\Big|\\
\le&\frac{\|\nabla\rho I_{U_0}\|_\infty}{\inf\limits_{z\in U_0}\rho(z)}\|V(s)\|_1\varphi\big(z,\epsilon V(s)I_{U_0}(z)\big)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\varphi\big(z,\epsilon' V(s)I_{U_0}(z)\big)\|V(s)\|_1\big(\|zI_{U_0}\|+1\big)\\
\le{}&C(T,U_0,\rho)\Big\{\varphi\big(z,\epsilon V(s)I_{U_0}(z)\big)+\varphi\big(z,\epsilon' V(s)I_{U_0}(z)\big)\Big\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\epsilon' < \epsilon$ and $C(T,U_0,\rho)$ is constant whose value can change from line to line. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_{U_0}\Big|\frac{\lambda^\epsilon(s,z)-1}{\epsilon}\Big|^2\nu(dz)\\
\le{}&C(T,U_0,\rho)\int_{U_0}\Big\{\varphi^2\big(z,\epsilon V(s)I_{U_0}(z)\big)+\varphi^2\big(z,\epsilon' V(s)I_{U_0}(z)\big)\Big\}\nu(dz)\\
\le{}&C(T,U_0,\rho)\int_{U_0}\Big\{\varphi^2\big(z,\epsilon V(s)I_{U_0}(z)\big)+\varphi^2\big(z,\epsilon' V(s)I_{U_0}(z)\big)\Big\}\mu(dz)\\
\le{}&C(T,U_0,\rho)e^{\|V(s)\|_1}\int_{U_0}\Big\{\varphi\big(z,2\epsilon V(s)I_{U_0}(z)\big)+\varphi\big(z,2\epsilon' V(s)I_{U_0}(z)\big)\Big\}\mu(dz)\\
\le{}&C(T,U_0,\rho)
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.3}
$$

Let

$$\tau_n^\epsilon=\inf\{t:|Z_t^\epsilon|\ge n\},\qquad n\ge 1.$$

Note that (2.2) and (2.3), and by B-D-G inequality and Young inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\sup_{s\le t_0}\Big(\frac{Z^\epsilon_{(s\wedge\tau_n^\epsilon)-}-1}{\epsilon}\Big)^2\le{}&E\int_0^{t_0}\int_{U_0}\sup_{s\le r}\Big(\frac{Z^\epsilon_{s\wedge\tau_n^\epsilon-}(\lambda^\epsilon(s,z)-1)}{\epsilon}\Big)^2\nu(dz)dr\\
\le{}&2E\int_0^{t_0}\int_{U_0}\sup_{s\le r}\big(\frac{Z^\epsilon_{s\wedge\tau_n^\epsilon-}-1}{\epsilon}\big)^2\big(\lambda^\epsilon(s,z)-1\big)^2\nu(dz)dr\\
&+2E\int_0^{t_0}\int_{U_0}\sup_{s\le r}\big(\frac{\lambda^\epsilon(s,z)-1}{\epsilon}\big)^2\nu(dz)dr\\
\le{}&C(T,U_0,\rho)\int_0^{t_0}E\sup_{s\le r}\big(\frac{Z^\epsilon_{s\wedge\tau_n^\epsilon-}-1}{\epsilon}\big)^2dr+C(T,U_0,\rho).
\end{aligned}
$$

Gronwall's inequality implies

$$E\Big(\frac{Z^\epsilon_{t\wedge\tau_n^\epsilon}-1}{\epsilon}\Big)^2\le E\sup_{s\le t_0}\Big(\frac{Z^\epsilon_{(s\wedge\tau_n^\epsilon)-}-1}{\epsilon}\Big)^2\le C(T,U_0,\rho).$$

Due to Fatou's lemma,

$$E\Big(\frac{Z^\epsilon_t-1}{\epsilon}\Big)^2\le C(T,U_0,\rho).$$

So $\{\frac{Z_t^\epsilon-1}{\epsilon}\}_{\epsilon<1}$ are uniformly integrable. $\square$

Up to now, it is ready for us to give the following integration by parts formula.

Theorem 2.2. *Assume* (**H1**) *holds. Then for* $R=VI_U$ *with* $V\in\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}$ *and* $f\in C_b^1(\mathbb{H})$, *we have*

$$ED_Rf(L_t)=-E[f(L_t)M_t],\quad t\le T,\tag{2.4}$$

where $M_t=\int_0^t\int_U\Big(\langle z,Q^{-1}V(s)\rangle+\langle\nabla\log\rho(z),V(s)\rangle\Big)\widetilde{N}(dz,ds)$.

Proof. We give the proof in two steps.
Step 1. For $R = V_0 I_{U_0}$ with $V_0 \in \mathcal{V}$ and $U_0 \in \mathcal{U}$. For $\epsilon > 0$, due to Lemma 2.1, we have

$$E_P f(L_t) = E_{P^\epsilon} f(L_t^\epsilon) = E_P f(L_t^\epsilon) Z_t^\epsilon,$$

where E_{P^ϵ} and E_P stand for the expectation w.r.t. P^ϵ and P respectively. Therefore,

$$E\frac{f(L_t^\epsilon)Z_t^\epsilon - f(L_t)}{\epsilon} = 0.$$

Furthermore,

$$E\frac{f(L_t^\epsilon) - f(L_t)}{\epsilon} + E\frac{f(L_t^\epsilon)(Z_t^\epsilon - 1 - \epsilon M_t^0)}{\epsilon} + Ef(L_t^\epsilon)M_t^0 = 0, \tag{2.5}$$

where $M_t^0 = \int_0^t \int_{U_0} \Big(\langle z, Q^{-1}V_0(s)\rangle + \langle \nabla \log \rho(z), V_0(s)\rangle\Big)\widetilde{N}(dz, ds)$.
The definition of L^1-derivative implies

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} E\frac{f(L_t^\epsilon) - f(L_t)}{\epsilon} = ED_{V_0 I_{U_0}} f(L_t), \tag{2.6}$$

and

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} Ef(L_t^\epsilon)M_t^0 = Ef(L_t)M_t^0. \tag{2.7}$$

It only suffices to prove

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} E\frac{f(L_t^\epsilon)(Z_t^\epsilon - 1 - \epsilon M_t^0)}{\epsilon} = 0.$$

Actually, by (2.2) one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{Z_t^\epsilon - 1}{\epsilon} &= \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_0^t \int_{U_0} \frac{Z_{s-}^\epsilon(\lambda^\epsilon(s,z) - 1)}{\epsilon} \widetilde{N}(dz, ds) \\
&= \int_0^t \int_{U_0} \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{Z_{s-}^\epsilon(\lambda^\epsilon(s,z) - 1)}{\epsilon} \widetilde{N}(dz, ds) \\
&= \int_0^t \int_{U_0} \frac{d}{d\epsilon}\Big|_{\epsilon=0} \Big(\varphi(z, \epsilon V_0(s)) \frac{\rho(z + \epsilon V_0(s))}{\rho(z)}\Big) \widetilde{N}(dz, ds) \\
&= \int_0^t \int_{U_0} \Big(\langle z, Q^{-1}V_0(s)\rangle + \langle \nabla \log \rho(z), V_0(s)\rangle\Big)\widetilde{N}(dz, ds).
\end{aligned}$$

Combining this with Lemma 2.2, we derive

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} E\frac{|Z_t^\epsilon - 1 - \epsilon M_t^0|}{\epsilon} = 0. \tag{2.8}$$

Let $\epsilon \to 0$ in (2.5), and with the help of (2.6), (2.7) and (2.8) we obtain

$$ED_{V_0 I_{U_0}} f(L_t) = -Ef(L_t)M_t^0. \tag{2.9}$$

Step 2. Choose a sequence of subsets $\{U_k\}_{k\geq 1} \subset \mathcal{U}$ such that $U_k \uparrow U$ as $k \uparrow \infty$. Meanwhile take $V_k(s) = V(s)I_{[0,k]}(|Q^{-1}V(s)|)$. Changing U_0 and V_0 in (2.9) into U_k and V_k respectively, one can arrive at

$$ED_{V_k I_{U_k}} f(L_t) = -Ef(L_t)M_t^k, \tag{2.10}$$

where

$$M_t^k = \int_0^t \int_{U_k} \Big(\langle z, Q^{-1}V_k(s)\rangle + \langle \nabla \log \rho(z), V_k(s)\rangle\Big)\widetilde{N}(dz, ds).$$

Let $k \to \infty$ in (2.10), we obtain (2.4). □

3 Derivative Formula and Exponential Ergodicity

In this section, we would like to give the proofs of main results. For convenience, denote for $i = 1, 2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
L^i(\mathbb{H}) =&\Big\{h : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{R}\Big| \int_{\mathbb{H}} |h(z)|\nu(dz) < \infty\Big\}, \quad L^2_+(\mathbb{H}) = \Big\{h \geq 0\Big| h \in L^2(\mathbb{H})\Big\},\\
L^2([0,T]) =&\Big\{k : [0,T] \to [0,\infty)\Big| \int_0^T k^2(s)ds < \infty\Big\},\\
C_b^2(\mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{H}) =&\Big\{G : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{H}\Big| G \text{ is bounded, differentiale, with bounded}\\
&\qquad\qquad \text{and continuous derivatives up to order 2.}\Big\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Before we move on, it is necessary for us to prove the existence of L^1-derivative of (1.2).

Theorem 3.1. *Assume A generates a C_0-semigroup $\{S(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ and $F \in C_b^2(\mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{H})$. If a predictable process R satisfies*

$$|R(s, z)| \leq k(s)h(z), \quad \forall s \in [0, T] \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{H},$$

for $k \in L^2([0, T])$ and $h \in L^1(\mathbb{H}) \cap L^2_+(\mathbb{H})$, then X_t has an L^1-derivative in direction R. Moreover, the L^1-derivative satisfies

$$\begin{cases} dD_R X_t = AD_R X_t dt + \nabla F(X_t)D_R X_t dt + \int_{\mathbb{H}} R(t, z)N(dz, dt) \\ D_R X_0 = 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

Proof. According to the definition of stochastic integration, the solution of equation (3.1) exists, denoted by $D_R X_t$. Now we aim to prove $D_R X_t$ is the L^1-derivative of X_t. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
X_t^\epsilon =& x + \int_0^t S(t-s)F(X_s^\epsilon)ds + \int_0^t \int_{|z|>1} S(t-s)zN^\epsilon(dz, ds)\\
&+ \int_0^t \int_{|z|\leq 1} S(t-s)z(N^\epsilon(dz, ds) - \nu(dz)ds
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&=x+\int_0^t S(t-s)F(X_s^\epsilon)ds+\int_0^t\int_{|z|>1}S(t-s)zN^\epsilon(dz,ds)\\
&\quad+\int_0^t\int_{|z|\le 1}S(t-s)z\widetilde{N}(dz,ds)+\int_0^t\int_{|z|\le 1}S(t-s)z(N^\epsilon(dz,ds)-N(dz,ds)),
\end{aligned}
$$

then

$$
X_t^\epsilon-X_t=\int_0^t S(t-s)(F(X_s^\epsilon)-F(X_s))ds+\epsilon\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{H}}S(t-s)V(s,z)N(dz,ds). \tag{3.2}
$$

Step1: D_RX_t is integrable. In fact, define a sequence of stoping times

$$
\tau_n=\inf\{t\mid |D_RX_t|\ge n\},\quad n\ge 1,
$$

then $\tau_n\uparrow\infty$ as $n\uparrow\infty$. Since $\{S(t)\}_{t\le T}$ is a C_0-semigroup, then there exists a constant $C>0$ and $\epsilon>0$ such that $\|S(t)\|\le Ce^{\omega t}$. For fixed $t_0\in(t,T)$, by (3.1) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&E\sup_{s\le t_0\wedge\tau_n-}|D_RX_s|\\
\le&Ce^{\omega T}\|\nabla F\|_\infty\int_0^{t_0}E\sup_{r\le s\wedge\tau_n-}|D_RX_r|ds+E\int_0^{t_0}\int_{\mathbb{H}}|V(s,z)|N(dz,ds)\\
\le&Ce^{\omega T}\|\nabla F\|_\infty\int_0^{t_0}\sup_{r\le s\wedge\tau_n-}|D_RX_r|ds+Ce^{\omega T}\|k\|_{L^2([0,T])}\|h\|_{L^1(\mathbb{H})}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Gronwall inequality immediately yields

$$
E\sup_{s\le t_0\wedge\tau_n-}|D_RX_s|\le Ce^{\omega T}\|k\|_{L^2([0,T])}\|h\|_{L^1(\mathbb{H})}\exp\Big\{Ce^{\omega T}\|\nabla F\|_\infty t_0\Big\}. \tag{3.3}
$$

Let $n\to\infty$ in (3.3), and one arrives at

$$
E|D_RX_t|\le E\sup_{s\le t_0-}|D_RX_s|\le Ce^{\omega T}\|k\|_{L^2([0,T])}\|h\|_{L^1(\mathbb{H})}\exp\Big\{Ce^{\omega T}\|\nabla F\|_\infty t_0\Big\}.
$$

Step2: We shall prove

$$
E\sup_{s\le t}\Big|\frac{X_s^\epsilon-X_s}{\epsilon}-D_RX_s\Big|\to 0,\quad \epsilon\to 0. \tag{3.4}
$$

Let

$$
\gamma_m=\inf\{t\mid |X_t^\epsilon-X_t-\epsilon D_RX_t|>m\},\quad m\ge 1.
$$

For convenience, define $\theta(t,m,n)=t\wedge\gamma_m\wedge\tau_n$. For $t_0\in(t,T)$, by (3.2) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&E\sup_{r\le\theta(t_0,m,n)-}|X_r^\epsilon-X_r|^2\\
\le&Ce^{\omega T}\Big\{E\sup_{r\le\theta(t_0,m,n)-}\Big|\int_0^r F(X_\tau^\epsilon)-F(X_\tau)d\tau\Big|^2
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\qquad + \epsilon^2 E \sup_{r\le \theta(t_0,m,n)-} \Big| \int_0^r \int_{\mathbb{H}} V(s,z) N(dz,ds) \Big|^2 \Big\} \\
\le & C e^{\omega T} E \Big\{ \int_0^{t_0} \sup_{\tau \le \theta(r,m,n)-} \big| F(X_\tau^\epsilon) - F(X_\tau) \big| dr \Big\}^2 \\
&+ C e^{\omega T} \epsilon^2 E \Big\{ \int_0^{t_0} \int_{\mathbb{H}} |V(s,z)| N(dz,ds) \Big\}^2 \\
\le & C e^{\omega T} \|\nabla F\|_\infty^2 t_0 \int_0^{t_0} E \sup_{\tau \le \theta(r,m,n)-} |X_\tau^\epsilon - X_\tau|^2 dr \\
&+ C e^{\omega T} \epsilon^2 \Big\{ E \int_0^{t_0} \int_{\mathbb{H}} |V(s,z)|^2 \nu(dz) ds + E \big(\int_0^{t_0} \int_{\mathbb{H}} |V(s,z)| \nu(dz) ds \big)^2 \Big\} \\
\le & C e^{\omega T} \|\nabla F\|_\infty^2 t_0 \int_0^{t_0} E \sup_{\tau \le \theta(r,m,n)-} |X_\tau^\epsilon - X_\tau|^2 dr \\
&+ C e^{\omega T} \epsilon^2 \Big\{ \|k\|^2_{L^2([0,T])} \big(\|h\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{H})} + \|h\|^2_{L^1(\mathbb{H})} \big) \Big\}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Gronwall inequality implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E \sup_{r \le \theta(t_0,m,n)-} \big| X_r^\epsilon - X_r \big|^2 \\
\le & C e^{\omega T} \epsilon^2 \Big\{ \|k\|^2_{L^2([0,T])} \big(\|h\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{H})} + \|h\|^2_{L^1(\mathbb{H})} \big) \Big\} \exp \Big\{ C e^{\omega T} \|\nabla F\|_\infty^2 t_0 \Big\}. \qquad (3.5)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $m \to \infty$ in (3.5) and Fatou's lemma yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E \sup_{r \le t_0 \wedge \gamma_n -} \big| X_r^\epsilon - X_r \big|^2 \\
\le & C e^{\omega T} \epsilon^2 \Big\{ \|k\|^2_{L^2([0,T])} \big(\|h\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{H})} + \|h\|^2_{L^1(\mathbb{H})} \big) \Big\} \exp \Big\{ C e^{\omega T} \|\nabla F\|_\infty^2 t_0 \Big\}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Due to (3.1), (3.2) and mean value theorem, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E \sup_{s \le t_0 \wedge \gamma_n -} \Big| X_s^\epsilon - X_s - \epsilon D_R X_s \Big| \\
= & E \sup_{s \le t_0 \wedge \gamma_n -} \Big| \int_0^s S(s-r) F(X_r^\epsilon) - S(s-r) F(X_r) dr - \epsilon \int_0^s S(s-r) \nabla F(X_r) D_R X_r dr \Big| \\
\le & C e^{\omega t_0} E \sup_{s \le t_0 \wedge \gamma_n -} \Big| \int_0^s \nabla F(X_r)(X_r^\epsilon - X_r) dr + \frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 F(\eta_r)(X_r^\epsilon - X_r)(X_r^\epsilon - X_r) \\
&\qquad - \epsilon \int_0^s \nabla F(X_r) D_R X_r dr \Big| \\
\le & C e^{\omega t_0} \|\nabla F\|_\infty \int_0^{t_0} E \sup_{r \le s \wedge \gamma_n -} |X_r^\epsilon - X_r - \epsilon D_R X_r| ds \\
&+ C e^{\omega t_0} \|\nabla^2 F\|_\infty \int_0^{t_0} E \sup_{r \le s \wedge \gamma_n -} |X_r^\epsilon - X_r|^2 ds \\
\le & C e^{\omega t_0} \|\nabla F\|_\infty \int_0^{t_0} E \sup_{r \le s \wedge \gamma_n -} |X_r^\epsilon - X_r - \epsilon D_R X_r| ds \\
&+ C e^{2\omega T} \|\nabla^2 F\|_\infty \epsilon^2 \Big\{ \|k\|^2_{L^2([0,T])} \big(\|h\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{H})} + \|h\|^2_{L^1(\mathbb{H})} \big) \Big\} \exp \Big\{ C e^{\omega T} \|\nabla F\|_\infty^2 t_0 \Big\},
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} E & \sup_{s\le t_0\wedge\gamma_n-}\Big|\frac{X^\epsilon_s-X_s}{\epsilon}-D_VX_s\Big| \\ \le & Ce^{2\omega T}\epsilon\|\nabla^2F\|_\infty\Big\{\|k\|^2_{L^2([0,T])}\big(\|h\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{H})}+\|h\|^2_{L^1(\mathbb{H})}\big)\Big\} \\ & \exp\Big\{Ce^{\omega T}(\|\nabla F\|^2_\infty+\|\nabla F\|_\infty)t_0\Big\}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Let $n\to\infty$ and $\epsilon\to 0+$ in (3.6), and we derive (3.4). □

For a given sector $\xi\in\mathbb{H}$, denote $J_t\xi$ the derivative of X_t w.r.t. the initial value x in the direction ξ, i.e.,

$$J_t\xi=\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\frac{X_t(x+\epsilon\xi)-X_t(x)}{\epsilon}.$$

Then $J_t\xi$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} dJ_t\xi=AJ_t\xi dt+\nabla F(X_t)J_t\xi dt, \\ J_0\xi=\xi. \end{cases} \tag{3.7}$$

By (3.1) and (3.7), we can derive

$$D_RX_t=J_t\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{H}}J_s^{-1}R(s,z)N(dz,ds). \tag{3.8}$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1: We show the proof in two steps.
Step1: Assume $F\in C_b^2(\mathbb{H}\to\mathbb{H})$. By (3.7), one has

$$J_t=S(t)+\int_0^tS(t-s)\nabla F(X_s)J_sds.$$

Then

$$\|J_t\|\le e^{-\gamma_1t}+\|\nabla F\|_\infty\int_0^t\|J_s\|e^{-\gamma_1(t-s)}ds.$$

Gronwall's inequality implies

$$\|J_t\|\le e^{(-\gamma_1+\|\nabla F\|_\infty)t}. \tag{3.9}$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} Q^{-1}J_t&=Q^{-1}S(t)+Q^{-1}\int_0^tS(t-s)\nabla F(X_s)J_sds \\ &=Q^{-1}S(t)+\lim_{\epsilon\to0}(Q+\epsilon I)^{-1}\int_0^tS(t-s)\nabla F(X_s)J_sds \\ &=Q^{-1}S(t)+\lim_{\epsilon\to0}\int_0^t(Q+\epsilon I)^{-1}S(t-s)\nabla F(X_s)J_sds \\ &=Q^{-1}S(t)+\int_0^t\lim_{\epsilon\to0}(Q+\epsilon I)^{-1}S(t-s)\nabla F(X_s)J_sds \end{aligned}$$

$$= Q^{-1}S(t) + \int_0^t Q^{-1}S(t-s)\nabla F(X_s)J_s ds, \tag{3.10}$$

where in the forth equality we use the dominated convergence theorem. By (3.9) and (3.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^T \|Q^{-1}J_t\|dt &\le \int_0^T \|Q^{-1}S(t)\|dt + \int_0^T\int_0^t \|Q^{-1}S(t-s)\|\|\nabla F(X_s)\|\|J_s\|dsdt \\ &\le \Big(1 + T\big(e^{(-\gamma_1+\|\nabla F\|_\infty)T}\big)\vee 1\Big)\int_0^T \|Q^{-1}S(t)\|dt < \infty.\end{aligned}$$

Take $R(s,z) = J_s I_U(z)\xi$ in (3.8), and we obtain $D_R X_t = N_t J_t \xi$. Then

$$\frac{I_{[N_t\ge 1]}}{N_t} D_R X_t = J_t I_{[N_t\ge 1]}\xi. \tag{3.11}$$

Since $\nu(\partial U) = 0$, then $D_R N_t = 0$. Combining this with the fact that the function $g(y) := \frac{1}{y}$ is in $C_b^1([1,\infty))$, one can easily derive

$$D_R\big(\frac{I_{[N_t\ge 1]}}{N_t}\big) = 0. \tag{3.12}$$

It follows (3.11), (3.12) and (2.4) that

$$\begin{aligned}&\nabla_\xi P_t^1 f(x) = \nabla_\xi E f(X_t^x) I_{[N_t\ge 1]} = E\langle \nabla f(X_t^x), J_t\xi I_{[N_t\ge 1]}\rangle \\ =&E\langle \nabla f(X_t^x), \frac{I_{[N_t\ge 1]}}{N_t} D_R X_t\rangle = E D_R f(X_t)\frac{I_{[N_t\ge 1]}}{N_t} \\ =&E D_R\big(f(X_t)\frac{I_{[N_t\ge 1]}}{N_t}\big) \\ =&-E f(X_t^x)\frac{I_{[N_t\ge 1]}}{N_t}\int_0^t\int_U \Big(\langle z, Q^{-1}J_s\xi\rangle + \langle \nabla\log\rho(z), J_s\xi\rangle\Big)\widetilde{N}(dz,ds).\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

Step2: Assume $F \in C_b^1(\mathbb{H}\to\mathbb{H})$ and ∇F is Lipschitz continuous. We aim to construct approximation $\{F_k\}_{k\ge 1} \subset C_b^2(\mathbb{H}\to\mathbb{H})$ such that $F_k \to F$ and $\nabla F_k \to \nabla F$ in pointwise sense as $k\to\infty$. For $k \ge 1$, we take a sequence of non-negative, twice differentiale function $\{g_k\}_{k\ge 1}$ such that

$$\mathrm{Supp}\{g_k\} \subset \{y \in \mathbb{R}^k : |y|_{\mathbb{R}^k} \le \frac{1}{k}\}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^k} g_k(y)dy = 1.$$

Identifying $\mathbb{R}^k$ with $\mathrm{span}\{e_1,\cdots,e_k\}$ we define

$$F_k(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} g_k(y - \Pi_k x) F\Big(\sum_{i=1}^k y_i e_i\Big)dy. \tag{3.14}$$

Then F_k is a twice differentiable function with bounded and continuous derivatives. Moreover,

$$\nabla F_k(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} g_k(y) \nabla F\Big(\sum_{i=1}^k y_i e_i + \Pi_k x\Big) \Pi_k dy. \tag{3.15}$$

For any $x, \widetilde{x} \in \mathbb{H}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\nabla F_k(x) - \nabla F_k(\widetilde{x})\| \\
=&\Big\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} g_k(y) \Big[\nabla F\Big(\sum_{i=1}^k y_i e_i + \Pi_k x\Big) - \nabla F\Big(\sum_{i=1}^k y_i e_i + \Pi_k \widetilde{x}\Big)\Big] \Pi_k dy \Big\| \\
\leq & \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} g_k(y) \|\nabla F\|_{Lip} |x - \widetilde{x}| dy \\
\leq & \|\nabla F\|_{Lip} |x - \widetilde{x}|,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\|\nabla F\|_{Lip}$ denotes the smallest Lipschitz constant. This implies

$$\sup_{k \geq 1} \|\nabla^2 F\|_\infty \leq \|\nabla F\|_{Lip}. \tag{3.16}$$

Consider the following equation for any $k \geq 1$,

$$\begin{cases} dX_t^k = AX_t^k dt + F_k(X_t^k) dt + dL_t, \\ X_0^k = x. \end{cases} \tag{3.17}$$

Let $\{X_t^k\}_{t \geq 0}$ be the solution of Eq.(3.17) and $\{J_t^k\}_{t \geq 0}$ be its derivative w.r.t. the initial value. Then $\{J_t^k\}_{t \geq 0}$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} dJ_t^k = AJ_t^k dt + \nabla F_k(X_t^k) J_t^k dt, \\ J_0^k = I. \end{cases} \tag{3.18}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
|X_t^k - X_t| =& \Big| \int_0^t S(t-s)\big(F_k(X_s^k) - F(X_s)\big) ds \Big| \\
\leq & \Big| \int_0^t S(t-s)\big(F_k(X_s^k) - F_k(X_s)\big) ds \Big| + \Big| \int_0^t S(t-s)\big(F_k(X_s) - F(X_s)\big) ds \Big| \\
\leq & \int_0^t e^{-\gamma_1(t-s)} \sup_{k \geq 1} \|\nabla F_k\|_\infty |X_s^k - X_s| ds + \int_0^t e^{-\gamma_1(t-s)} \big|F_k(X_s) - F(X_s)\big| ds,
\end{aligned}$$

then with the help of Gronwall inequality and dominated convergence theorem we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{k \to \infty} |X_t^k - X_t| \\
\leq & \exp\Big\{ -(\gamma_1 + \sup_{k \geq 1} \|\nabla F_k\|_\infty) t \Big\} \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_0^t e^{-\gamma_1(t-s)} \big|F_k(X_s) - F(X_s)\big| ds = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from (3.9) that

$$\|J_t^k - J_t\| \leq \int_0^t \|S(t-s)\| \|\nabla F_k(X_s^k) J_s^k - \nabla F(X_s) J_s\| ds$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\leq \int_0^t \|S(t-s)\| \|\nabla F_k(X_s^k)J_s^k - \nabla F_k(X_s^k)J_s\| ds \\
&\quad + \int_0^t \|S(t-s)\| \|\nabla F_k(X_s^k)J_s - \nabla F_k(X_s)J_s\| ds \\
&\quad + \int_0^t \|S(t-s)\| \|\nabla F_k(X_s)J_s - \nabla F(X_s)J_s\| ds \\
&\leq \sup_{k\geq 1} \|\nabla F_k\|_\infty \int_0^t e^{-\gamma_1(t-s)} \|J_s^k - J_s\| ds \\
&\quad + \sup_{k\geq 1} \|\nabla^2 F_k\|_\infty \int_0^t e^{-\gamma_1 t + s\|\nabla F\|_\infty} |X_s^k - X_s| ds \\
&\quad + \int_0^t e^{-\gamma_1 t + s\|\nabla F\|_\infty} \|\nabla F_k(X_s) - \nabla F(X_s)\| ds \\
&:= I_1(k) + I_2(k) + I_3(k).
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.19}
$$

This implies

$$
\|J_t^k - J_t\| \leq \exp\{-\gamma_1 t + \sup_{k\geq 1} \|\nabla F_k\|_\infty t\}\Big(I_2(k) + I_3(k)\Big)\Big) \to 0, \quad k \to \infty.
$$

Define

$$
P_t^{k,1} f(x) = E f(X_t^k) I_{[N_t \geq 1]}.
$$

By (3.13), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\nabla P_t^{k,1} f(x) \\
&= - E\Big\{ f(X_t^x) \frac{I_{[N_t\geq 1]}}{N_t} \int_0^t \int_U \Big(\langle z, Q^{-1} J_s^k \xi \rangle + \langle \nabla \log \rho(z), J_s^k \xi \rangle \Big) \widetilde{N}(dz, ds) \Big\}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.20}
$$

Observe that as $k \to \infty$,

$$
|\nabla P_t^{k,1} f(x) - \nabla P_t^1 f(x)| \leq \|\nabla f\|_\infty E \|J_t^k - J_t\| \to 0,
$$

and

$$
E\Big| \int_0^t \int_U \Big(\langle z, Q^{-1} \big(J_s^k - J_s\big) \xi \rangle + \langle \nabla \log \rho(z), \big(J_s^k - J_s\big) \xi \rangle \Big) \widetilde{N}(dz, ds) \Big| \to 0.
$$

So we finish the proof by letting $k \to \infty$ in (3.20).

In order to give the proof of Theorem 1.2, we should prove the existence of invariant probebility measure of Eq.(1.2).

Proposition 3.2. *Assume* (**H2**) *and* (**H4**) *hold. If* $\gamma_1 > \|F\|_{Lip}$*, then there exists at least one invariant measure of Eq. (1.2). Moreover, for any invariant measure* Ξ*, we have* $\int_{\mathbb{H}} |z| \Xi(dz) < \infty$*.*

Proof. By (1.2) and Itô formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
E|X_t|^2 =&|x|^2 + 2E\int_0^t \langle X_s, dX_s\rangle + E\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{H}} |z|^2 N(dz,ds)\\
=&|x|^2 - 2E\int_0^t |(-A)^{\frac{1}{2}}X_s|ds + 2E\int_0^t \langle F(X_s), X_s\rangle ds + \int_{\mathbb{H}} |z|^2\nu(dz)t\\
\le&|x|^2 - 2E\int_0^t |(-A)^{\frac{1}{2}}X_s|ds + 2\|F\|_{Lip}E\int_0^t |X_s|^2 ds\\
&+ 2|F(0)|\frac{|x|}{\gamma_1} + 2|F(0)|\|F\|_\infty\frac{t}{\gamma_1} + \int_{\mathbb{H}} |z|^2\nu(dz)t\\
\le&|x|^2 - 2\big(\gamma_1 - \|F\|_{Lip}\big)E\int_0^t |X_s|^2 ds\\
&+ 2|F(0)|\frac{|x|}{\gamma_1} + 2|F(0)|\|F\|_\infty\frac{t}{\gamma_1} + \int_{\mathbb{H}} |z|^2\nu(dz)t. \qquad (3.21)
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
&E|X_t|^2 + 2\big(\gamma_1 - \|F\|_{Lip}\big)E\int_0^t |X_s|^2 ds\\
\le&|x|^2 + 2|F(0)|\frac{|x|}{\gamma_1} + 2|F(0)|\|F\|_\infty\frac{t}{\gamma_1} + \int_{\mathbb{H}} |z|^2\nu(dz)t.
\end{aligned}$$

Furemore,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\Big\{\exp\{(\gamma_1 - \|F\|_{Lip})t\}\int_0^t E|X_s|^2 ds\Big\}\\
\le&\exp\{(\gamma_1 - \|F\|_{Lip})t\}\Big\{|x|^2 + 2|F(0)|\frac{|x|}{\gamma_1} + 2|F(0)|\|F\|_\infty\frac{t}{\gamma_1} + \int_{\mathbb{H}} |z|^2\nu(dz)t\Big\}.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\int_0^t E|X_s|^2 ds \le |x|^2 + 2|F(0)|\frac{|x|}{\gamma_1} + 2|F(0)|\|F\|_\infty\frac{t}{\gamma_1} + \int_{\mathbb{H}} |z|^2\nu(dz)t := I(t). \qquad (3.22)$$

Combining this with the first inequlity in (3.21), we arrive at

$$2\int_0^t E|(-A)^{\frac{1}{2}}X_s|ds \le (1 + \|F\|_{Lip})I_t. \qquad (3.23)$$

Let

$$\Xi_t(B) = \frac{1}{t}\int_0^t P_s(x,B)ds, \quad B \in \mathscr{B}(\mathbb{H}).$$

For $r > 0$, denote $\{y| \|(-A)^{\frac{1}{2}}y\| \le r\}$ by B_r. Thanks to (**H2**), B_r is a compact set. With the help of (3.23), we obtain

$$\Xi_t(B_r^c) = \frac{1}{tr^2}\int_0^t E|(-A)^{\frac{1}{2}}X_s|^2 ds \le \frac{(1 + \|F\|_{Lip})I_t}{tr^2} \le \frac{M}{r^2},$$

for a suitable constant M. For $\epsilon > 0$ and $r > \sqrt{\frac{M}{\epsilon}}$, one has $\Xi_t(B_r^c) < \epsilon$. Hence, $\{\Xi_t\}_{t>0}$ is tight and its limit is an invariant probability measure of Eq.(1.2). Moreover, it follows from (3.22) that

$$\int_{\mathbb{H}} |y| \Xi_t(dy) = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t E|X_s| ds \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \Big(\int_0^t E|X_s|^2 ds \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq M_1, \quad t \geq 1, \tag{3.24}$$

for a constant M_1 independt of t. So for any $m \geq 1$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{H}} (|y| \wedge m) \Xi(dy) = \lim_{t \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{H}} (|y| \wedge m) \Xi_t(dy) \leq M_1. \tag{3.25}$$

Let $m \to \infty$ in (3.25), and we finish the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2.

Step1: Assume $F \in C_b^2(\mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{H})$. By Theorem 1.1, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\nabla_\xi P_t^1 f(x)| \\
=&\Big| - Ef(X_t^x) \frac{I_{[N_t \geq 1]}}{N_t} \int_0^t \int_U \Big(\langle z, Q^{-1} J(s) \xi \rangle + \langle \nabla \log \rho(z), J(s) \xi \rangle \Big) \widetilde{N}(dz, ds) \Big| \\
\leq& \|f\|_\infty |\xi| E \frac{I_{[N_t \geq 1]}}{N_t} \Big\{ \int_0^t \int_U |z| \|Q^{-1} J_s\| N(dz, ds) + \int_0^t \int_U |z| \|Q^{-1} J_s\| \nu(dz) ds \\
&\qquad + \int_0^t \int_U |\nabla \log \rho(z)| \|J_s\| N(dz, ds) + \int_0^t \int_U |\nabla \log \rho(z)| \|J_s\| \nu(dz) ds \Big\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
& E \frac{I_{[N_t \geq 1]}}{N_t} \int_0^t \int_U |z| \|Q^{-1} J_s\| N(dz, ds) \\
=& E \frac{I_{[N_t \geq 1]}}{N_t} \int_0^t \int_U |z| \Big\| Q^{-1} S(s) + \int_0^s Q^{-1} S(s-r) \nabla F(X_r) J_r dr \Big\| N(dz, ds) \\
\leq& E \frac{I_{[N_t \geq 1]}}{N_t} \int_0^t \int_U |z| \Big\{ \int_0^s \|Q^{-1} S(s-r)\| \omega_0 e^{-(\gamma_1 - \|\nabla F\|_\infty)(s-r)} dr \\
&\qquad\qquad + \big\| Q^{-1} S(s) \big\| \Big\} N(dz, ds) \\
=& E \frac{I_{[N_t \geq 1]}}{N_t} \int_U |z| \nu(dz) \Big\{ \|\nabla F\|_\infty \int_0^t \int_0^s \|Q^{-1} S(s-r)\| e^{-(\gamma_1 - \|\nabla F\|_\infty)(s-r)} dr ds \\
&\qquad\qquad + \int_0^t \big\| Q^{-1} S(s) \big\| ds \Big\},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

and by (3.9) we have

$$E \frac{I_{[N_t \geq 1]}}{N_t} \int_0^t \int_U |\nabla \log \rho(z)| \|J_s\| N(dz, ds) \leq E \frac{I_{[N_t \geq 1]}}{N_t} \frac{\int_U |\nabla \rho(z)| \mu(dz)}{\gamma_1 - \|\nabla F\|_\infty}. \tag{3.28}$$

Meanwhile, by direct calculus, one can obtain

$$E \frac{I_{[N_t \geq 1]}}{N_t} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda t - 1}, \qquad t > \frac{1}{\lambda}. \tag{3.29}$$

So with the help of (3.26), (3.27), (3.28) and (3.29) we can obtain

$$|\nabla_\xi P_t^1 f(x)| \le 2\|f\|_\infty |\xi| \Big\{ \|\nabla F\|_\infty \int_U |z|\nu(dz) \frac{\Gamma_t}{\lambda t - 1} + \frac{M \int_U |\nabla \rho(z)| \mu(dz)}{(\lambda t - 1)(\gamma_1 - \|\nabla F\|_\infty)} \Big\}, \tag{3.30}$$

where

$$\Gamma_t = \int_0^t \int_0^s \|Q^{-1} S(s-r)\| e^{-(\gamma_1 - \|\nabla F\|_\infty)(s-r)} dr ds + \int_0^t \big\|Q^{-1} S(s)\big\| ds.$$

Then for $t > \frac{1}{\lambda}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla P_t^1 f\|_\infty :=& \sup_{|\xi| \le 1} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{H}} |\nabla_\xi P_t^1 f(x)| \\ \le& 2\|f\|_\infty \Big\{ \|\nabla F\|_\infty \int_U |z|\nu(dz) \frac{\Gamma_t}{\lambda t - 1} + \frac{\int_U |\nabla \rho(z)| \mu(dz)}{(\lambda t - 1)(\gamma_1 - \|\nabla F\|_\infty)} \Big\}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.31}$$

Since $\sup\limits_{t \ge \frac{2}{\lambda}} \frac{\int_0^t \|Q^{-1} S(s)\| ds}{\lambda t - 1} < \infty$, then there exists $C_0 < \infty$ such that

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \|Q^{-1} S(t)\| = C_0.$$

So for some $T_0 > 0$ large enough, we have

$$\|Q^{-1} S(t)\| \le C_0 + 1, \quad t \ge T_0.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\int_0^t \int_0^s \|Q^{-1} S(s-r)\| e^{-(\gamma_1 - \|\nabla F\|_\infty)(s-r)} dr ds}{\lambda t - 1} \\ \le& \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\int_0^t \|Q^{-1} S(t-s)\| e^{-(\gamma_1 - \|\nabla F\|_\infty)(t-s)} ds}{\lambda} \\ =& \frac{1}{\lambda} \lim_{t \to \infty} \int_0^t \|Q^{-1} S(s)\| e^{-(\gamma_1 - \|\nabla F\|_\infty)s} ds \\ \le& \frac{1}{\lambda} \Big\{ \int_0^{T_0} \|Q^{-1} S(t)\| dt + (C_0 + 1) \int_{T_0}^\infty e^{-(\gamma_1 - \|\nabla F\|_\infty)s} ds \Big\} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\sup_{t \ge \frac{2}{\lambda}} \frac{\Gamma_t}{\lambda t - 1} < \infty. \tag{3.32}$$

For $t \ge \frac{2}{\lambda}$, it follows from (3.31) and (3.32) that

$$|\nabla P_t^1 f(x)| \le 2\|f\|_\infty \Big\{ \|\nabla F\|_\infty \int_U |z|\nu(dz) \sup_{t \ge \frac{2}{\lambda}} \frac{\Gamma_t}{\lambda t - 1} + \frac{\int_U |\nabla \rho(z)| \mu(dz)}{(\gamma_1 - \|\nabla F\|_\infty)} \Big\}$$

Therefore,

$$|P_t f(x) - P_t f(y)|$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&=|P_t^1 f(x) - P_t^1 f(y)| + |Ef(X_t^x)I_{[N_t=0]} - Ef(X_t^y)I_{[N_t=0]}| \\
&\leq \|\nabla P_t^1 f\|_\infty |x-y| + 2\|f\|_\infty P(N_t = 0) \\
&\leq 2\|f\|_\infty \Big\{ \Big(\|\nabla F\|_\infty \int_U |z|\nu(dz) \sup_{t\geq\frac{2}{\lambda}} \frac{\Gamma_t}{\lambda t - 1} + \frac{\int_U |\nabla\rho(z)|\mu(dz)}{(\gamma_1 - \|\nabla F\|_\infty)} \Big)|x-y| + e^{-\lambda t} \Big\}. \quad (3.33)
\end{aligned}
$$

Step2: Assume (**H4**) hold. Making use of (3.14), we can construct $\{F_n\}_{n\geq1} \subset C_b^2(\mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{H})$ such that $F_n \to F$ as $n \to \infty$ in pointwise sense and $\sup_{n\geq1} \|\nabla F_n\|_\infty \leq \|F\|_{Lip}$. It follows from (3.33) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&|P_t^n f(x) - P_t^n f(y)| \\
\leq& 2\|f\|_\infty \Big\{ \Big(\|\nabla F_n\|_\infty \int_U |z|\nu(dz) \sup_{t\geq\frac{2}{\lambda}} \frac{\Gamma_t}{\lambda t - 1} + \frac{\int_U |\nabla\rho(z)|\mu(dz)}{(\gamma_1 - \|\nabla F_n\|_\infty)} \Big)|x-y| + e^{-\lambda t} \Big\} \\
\leq& 2\|f\|_\infty \Big\{ \Big(\|F\|_{Lip} \int_U |z|\nu(dz) \sup_{t\geq\frac{2}{\lambda}} \frac{\Gamma_t}{\lambda t - 1} + \frac{\int_U |\nabla\rho(z)|\mu(dz)}{(\gamma_1 - \|F\|_{Lip})} \Big)|x-y| + e^{-\lambda t} \Big\}, \quad (3.34)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\{P_t^n\}_{t\geq0}$ denotes the transition semigroup of $\{X_t^n\}_{t\geq0}$. Let $n \to \infty$ in (3.34), and we derive

$$
\begin{aligned}
&|P_t f(x) - P_t f(y)| \\
\leq& 2\|f\|_\infty \Big\{ \Big(\|F\|_{Lip} \int_U |z|\nu(dz) \sup_{t\geq\frac{2}{\lambda}} \frac{\Gamma_t}{\lambda t - 1} + \frac{\int_U |\nabla\rho(z)|\mu(dz)}{(\gamma_1 - \|F\|_{Lip})} \Big)|x-y| + e^{-\lambda t} \Big\}. \quad (3.35)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since for $x, y \in \mathbb{H}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
|X_t^x - X_t^y| \leq& |S(t)(x-y)| + \int_0^t |S(t-s)\big(F(X_s^x) - F(X_s^y)\big)| ds \\
\leq& e^{-\gamma_1 t}|x-y| + \int_0^t e^{-\gamma_1(t-s)} \|F\|_{Lip} |X_s^x - X_s^y| ds
\end{aligned}
$$

then one can get

$$
|X_t^x - X_t^y| \leq e^{(-\gamma_1 + \|F\|_{Lip})t}|x-y|.
$$

Combining this with (3.35) and using the Markov property, we have for $t > s \geq \frac{2}{\lambda}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&|P_t f(x) - P_t f(y)| \\
\leq& E|P_s f(X_{t-s}^x) - P_s f(X_{t-s}^y)| \\
\leq& 2\|f\|_\infty \Big\{ \Big(\|F\|_{Lip} \int_U |z|\nu(dz) \sup_{s\geq\frac{2}{\lambda}} \frac{\Gamma_s}{\lambda s - 1} + \frac{\int_U |\nabla\rho(z)|\mu(dz)}{(\gamma_1 - \|F\|_{Lip})} \Big) E|X_{t-s}^x - X_{t-s}^y| + e^{-\lambda s} \Big\} \\
\leq& 2\|f\|_\infty \Big\{ \Big(\|F\|_{Lip} \int_U |z|\nu(dz) \sup_{s\geq\frac{2}{\lambda}} \frac{\Gamma_s}{\lambda s - 1} + \frac{\int_U |\nabla\rho(z)|\mu(dz)}{(\gamma_1 - \|F\|_{Lip})} \Big) e^{-(\gamma_1 - \|F\|_{Lip})(t-s)}|x-y| \\
&+ e^{-\lambda s} \Big\}. \quad (3.36)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $t > \frac{2(\gamma_1 - \|F\|_{Lip} + \lambda)}{\lambda(\gamma_1 - \|F\|_{Lip})}$ and take $s = \frac{(\gamma_1 - \|F\|_{Lip})t}{\gamma_1 - \|F\|_{Lip} + \lambda}$ in (3.36). It follows (3.36) and (3.32) that

$$|P_t f(x) - P_t f(y)| \le C\|f\|_\infty (1 + |x - y|) \exp\Big\{ - \frac{\lambda(\gamma_1 - \|F\|_{Lip})t}{\lambda + \gamma_1 - \|F\|_{Lip}} \Big\}. \tag{3.37}$$

Thanks to Proposition 3.3, for any invariant measure Ξ we have

$$|P_t f(x) - \Xi(f)| \le C\|f\|_\infty (1 + |x|) \exp\Big\{ - \frac{\lambda(\gamma_1 - \|F\|_{Lip})t}{\lambda + \gamma_1 - \|F\|_{Lip}} \Big\}.$$

This immediately implies

$$\|P_t(x, \cdot) - \Xi\|_{Var} \le C(1 + |x|) \exp\Big\{ - \frac{\lambda(\gamma_1 - \|F\|_{Lip})t}{\lambda + \gamma_1 - \|F\|_{Lip}} \Big\}.$$

The proof is then finished since the inequality trivially holds with a suitabe constant $C > 0$ for $t \le \frac{2(\gamma_1 - \|F\|_{Lip} + \lambda)}{\lambda(\gamma_1 - \|F\|_{Lip})}$.

We end up this paper with an example showing the conditions of Theorem 1.2 on Q can be satisfied.

Example: Let (**H2**) hold. For $0 < \delta < 1$, we introduce the fractional power $(-A)^\delta$ of $-A$, defined by

$$(-A)^\delta = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\delta)} \int_0^\infty t^{-\delta} S(t) dt,$$

where Γ is the Euler function. It can be proved that $S(t)\mathbb{H} \subset \mathcal{D}((-A)^\delta)$, for any $t > 0$, and

$$\|(-A)^\delta S(t)\| \le C_\delta t^{-\delta}$$

for a suitable positive constant C_δ. Take $Q = \big((-A)^\delta\big)^{-1}$, then we have $S(t)\mathbb{H} \subset ImQ$. Moreover,

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{\int_0^t \|Q^{-1}S(s)\|ds}{\lambda t - 1} \le \lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{C_\delta \int_0^t s^{-\delta} ds}{\lambda t - 1} = 0$$

implies $\sup\limits_{t \ge \frac{2}{\lambda}} \frac{\int_0^t \|Q^{-1}S(s)\|ds}{\lambda t - 1} < \infty$.

Acknowledgement

The author is very grateful to Professors Zhao Dong, Yong Liu and Fengyu Wang for their valuable discussions and suggestions.

References

[1] V. Bally, M. P. Bavouzet and M. Messaoud. *Integration by parts formula for locally smooth laws and applications to sensitivity computations.* Ann. Appl. Prob. 17(2007), 33-66.

[2] R. F. Bass and M. Cranston. *The Malliavin calculus for pure jump processes and applications to local time*. Ann. Probab. 14(1986), 490-532.

[3] B. Bottcher, R. L. Schilling and J. Wang. *Constructions of coupling processes for Lévy processes*. Stoch. Proc. Appl. 121(2011), 1201-1216.

[4] Z. Dong, Y. L. Song and Y. C. Xie. *Gradient estimates and Harnack inequality for linear SDEs driven by Lévy Processes*. Preprint.

[5] Z. Dong and Y. C. Xie. *Ergodicity of linear SPDE driven by Lévy noise*. J. Syst. Sci. Complex. 23(2010), 137-152.

[6] G. Da Prato, J. Zabczyk. *Ergodicity for infnite diemsnional systems*. Cambridge University Press, 1996.

[7] M. Hairer and J. C. Mattingly. *Ergodicity of the 2D Navier-Stokes equations with degenerate stochastic forcing*. Ann. of Math. 164(2006), 993-1032.

[8] A. M. Kulik. *Exponential ergodicity of the solutions to SDE's with a jump noise*. Stoc. Proc. App. 119(2009), 602-632.

[9] J. R. Norris. *Integration by parts for jump processes*. Seminaire de Probabilites XXII. Lect. Notes. Math. 1321(1988), 271-315.

[10] E. Priola, A. Shirikyan, L.H. Xu and J. Zabczyk. *Exponential ergodicity and regularity for equations with Lévy noise*. Stoc. Proc. App. 122(2012), 106-133.

[11] E. Priola and J. Zabczyk. *Structural properties of similinear SPDEs driven by cylindrical stable processes*. Probab. Theory Relat. Fields. 149(2011), 97-137.

[12] E. Priola, L.H. Xu and J. Zabczyk. *Exponential mixing for some SPDEs with Lévy noise*. Stoch. Dyn. 11(2011), 521-534.

[13] R. L. Schilling and J. Wang. *On the coupling property of Lévy processes*. Inst. Henri Poinc. Probab. Stat. 47(2011), 1147-1159.

[14] R. L. Schilling and J. Wang. *On the coupling property and the Liouville theorem for Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes*. J. Evol. Equ. 12(2012), 119-140.

[15] R. L. Schilling, P. Sztonyk and J. Wang. *Coupling property and gradient estimates of Lévy processes via the symbol*. To appear in Bernoulli. arXiv: 1011.1067v2.

[16] Y. L. Song. *Gradient estimates and Harnack inequality for nonlinear SDEs driven by jump Processes*. Preprint.

[17] A. Takeuchi. *Bismut-Elworthy-Li-Type formula for stochastic differential equations with jumps*. J. Theory Probab. 23(2010), 576-604.

[18] F. Y. Wang. *Gradient estimate for Ornstein-Uhlenbeck jump processes*. Stoc. Proc. App. 121(2011), 466-478.

[19] F. Y. Wang. *Derivative formula and Harnack inequality for jump processes*. arXiv:1104.5531v1.

[20] F. Y. Wang and J. Wang. *Coupling and strong Feller for jump processes on Banach spaces*. arXiv:1111.3795v1.

[21] J. Wang. *Criteria for ergodicity of Lévy type operators in dimension one*. Stoc. Proc. App. 118(2008), 1909-1928.

[22] X. C. Zhang. *Derivative formula and gradient estimate for SDEs driven by α-stable processes*. arXiv:1204.2630v2.